

DEFINABLE TRIANGULATIONS WITH REGULARITY CONDITIONS

MALGORZATA CZAPLA

ABSTRACT. We prove that every definable set has a definable triangulation which is locally Lipschitz and weakly bi-Lipschitz on the natural simplicial stratification of a simplicial complex. We also distinguish a class $\mathcal{T}$ of regularity conditions and give a universal construction of a definable triangulation with a $\mathcal{T}$ condition of a definable set. This class includes the Whitney (B) and the Verdier conditions.

INTRODUCTION

It has been known for more than 40 years - since papers of Whitney [Wh] and Lojasiewicz [L1] - that analytic and semianalytic subsets of euclidean spaces admit stratifications with Whitney regularity conditions, a result later generalized to subanalytic ([Hi1], [LSW]) and finally to subsets definable in any o-minimal structures on $\mathbb{R}$ ([TL1], [TL2]). Since Lojasiewicz's paper [L2], it has also been known that semianalytic and subsequently, subanalytic ([Ha], [Hi2], [L3]) and definable in o-minimal structures ([vdD]) sets are triangulable.

A challenging problem stated by Lojasiewicz and Thom was to combine the both results, i.e. to construct a triangulation of semi(sub)analytic sets which is a stratification with Whitney conditions. A main difficulty was that the construction of Whitney stratification was by downward induction on dimension in contrast to the triangulation which goes by upward induction on dimension. It was not clear how to overcome this divergence.

A first positive solution to the problem was given by Masahiro Shiota [Sh1]. In his eight-page article concerning semialgebraic case, he proposed a solution based on a technique of controlled tube systems developed in his book [Sh2]. However, his proof is difficult to understand.

In the present article we give a direct constructive solution to the problem based on the theory of weakly Lipschitz mappings [Cz] and on Guillaume Valette's description of Lipschitz structure of definable sets [Val]. Our solution is general in the sense that it concerns an arbitrary o-minimal structure on the ordered field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ (or even on any real closed field) and, moreover, in the sense that we describe a class $\mathcal{T}$ of regularity conditions including the Whitney and the Verdier conditions, such that for any condition $\mathcal{Q}$ from $\mathcal{T}$ a definable triangulation with $\mathcal{Q}$ condition is possible.

Roughly speaking, our final result (Theorem 4.15) is derived from existence of a definable, locally Lipschitz, weakly bi-Lipschitz triangulation (Theorem 3.11), which in some sense (see Theorem 4.11) preserves regularity conditions. To construct such a triangulation, we first use Guillaume Valette's theorem (Theorem 2.2), which reduces the general case to the one, to which the classical construction of triangulation can be applied.

A natural setting for our results is the theory of o-minimal structures (or more generally geometric categories), as presented in [vdD], [DM]. In the whole paper the adjective *definable* (i.e. definable subset, definable mapping) will refer to any fixed o-minimal structure on the ordered field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ (or, more generally, on a real closed field).

1. WEAKLY LIPSCHITZ MAPPINGS

In this section we recall a notion of a weakly Lipschitz mapping and list its important properties.

Key words and phrases. weakly Lipschitz mapping, definable triangulation, Whitney (B) condition, Verdier conditions.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14P05, 14P10, 32B20, 32B25.

We denote by $|\cdot|$ the euclidean norm of $\mathbb{R}^n$. In the whole paper C^q denotes the class of smoothness of a mapping, so $q \in \mathbb{N}$ or $q \in \{\infty, \omega\}$, $q \geq 1$, unless it is said differently. Now we remind briefly a notion of a C^q stratification.

Definition 1.1. Let A be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. A C^q stratification of the set A is a (locally) finite family $\mathfrak{X}_A$ of connected C^q submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (called *strata*), such that

- 1) $A = \bigcup \mathfrak{X}_A$;
- 2) if $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in \mathfrak{X}_A$, $\Gamma_1 \neq \Gamma_2$ then $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$;
- 3) for each $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_A$ the set $(\overline{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma) \cap A$ is a union of some strata from $\mathfrak{X}_A$ of dimension $< \dim \Gamma$.

We say that the stratification $\mathfrak{X}_A$ is *compatible with a family of sets* $B_i \subset A$, $i \in I$, if every set B_i is a union of some strata of $\mathfrak{X}_A$.

Actually, we will be interested only in finite stratifications.

Definition 1.2. Let A be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\mathfrak{X}_A$ be a finite C^q stratification of the set A . Consider a mapping $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. We say that f is *weakly Lipschitz of class C^q on the stratification $\mathfrak{X}_A$* , if for each stratum $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_A$ the restriction $f|_\Gamma$ is of class C^q and for any point $a \in \Gamma$ there exists a neighbourhood U_a of a such that the mapping

$$\psi : (\Gamma \cap U_a) \times ((A \setminus \Gamma) \cap U_a) \ni (x, y) \mapsto \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \in \mathbb{R}$$

is bounded.

Remark 1.3. For another equivalent description see [Cz] Definition 2.1.

Remark 1.4. If $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is weakly Lipschitz on a stratification $\mathfrak{X}_A$ of the set A , then f is continuous on A .

The weak lipschitzianity is a generalization of the Lipschitz condition. We have the following

Proposition 1.5. Let $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a locally Lipschitz mapping. Assume that the set A admits a C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}_A$ such that for all strata $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_A$ the map $f|_\Gamma$ is of class C^q . Then f is weakly Lipschitz of class C^q on the stratification $\mathfrak{X}_A$.

By the C^q Cell Decomposition Theorem (see [DM]), we have the following

Corollary 1.6. Let $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a definable locally Lipschitz mapping. There exists a definable C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}_A$ of the set A , such that f is weakly Lipschitz of class C^q on $\mathfrak{X}_A$.

Remark 1.7. Weakly Lipschitz mappings may not be locally Lipschitz (see [Cz] Examples 2.6 and 2.7).

Proofs of the following propositions are straightforward.

Proposition 1.8. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathfrak{X}_A$ be a C^q stratification of the set A and $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be weakly Lipschitz of class C^q on $\mathfrak{X}_A$. Let $B \subset A$. Then for any C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}_B$ of the set B , compatible with $\mathfrak{X}_A$, the mapping $f|_B$ is weakly Lipschitz of class C^q on the stratification $\mathfrak{X}_B$.

Proposition 1.9. Let Λ be a C^q submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\dim \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda < \dim \Lambda$. Let $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a C^q stratification of $\overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$. Let $f : \overline{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a continuous mapping such that for any $i \in I$ the restriction $f|_{\Gamma_i}$ is of class C^q . Then f is weakly Lipschitz of class C^q on $\{\Lambda\} \cup \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ if and only if for any $i \in I$ the mapping f is weakly Lipschitz of class C^q on $\{\Lambda, \Gamma_i\}$.

Proposition 1.10. Let $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ be a weakly Lipschitz C^q mapping on a C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}_A$ of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ be a weakly Lipschitz C^q mapping on a C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}_B$ of a set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^p$. Assume that the image under f of each stratum from $\mathfrak{X}_A$ is contained in some stratum from $\mathfrak{X}_B$ (in particular, $f(A) \subset B$). Then $g \circ f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ is a weakly Lipschitz C^q mapping on $\mathfrak{X}_A$.

Proposition 1.11. *Let $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ be a weakly Lipschitz C^q mapping on a C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}_A$ of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $g : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ be a weakly Lipschitz C^q mapping on a C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}_B$ of a set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Then $f \times g : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^r$ is weakly Lipschitz of class C^q on a C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}_{A \times B} = \{\Lambda' \times \Lambda'' : \Lambda' \in \mathfrak{X}_A, \Lambda'' \in \mathfrak{X}_B\}$.*

Definition 1.12. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For a homeomorphic embedding $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and a C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}_A$ of A such that for any $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_A$ the mapping $f|_\Gamma$ is a C^q embedding, we have a natural C^q stratification of the image $f(A)$

$$f\mathfrak{X}_A = \{f(\Gamma) : \Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_A\}.$$

This leads to the following definition of a weakly bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism:

Definition 1.13. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set, $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a homeomorphic embedding. Let $\mathfrak{X}_A$ be a C^q stratification ($q \geq 1$) of A such that for each $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_A$ the mapping $f|_\Gamma$ is a C^q embedding.

We say that the mapping f is *weakly bi-Lipschitz of class C^q on the stratification $\mathfrak{X}_A$* , if f is weakly Lipschitz of class C^q on $\mathfrak{X}_A$ and its inverse $f^{-1} : f(A) \rightarrow A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is weakly Lipschitz of class C^q on the stratification $f\mathfrak{X}_A$.

In order to check that the inverse mapping is weakly Lipschitz on $f\mathfrak{X}_A$, we can use the following proposition (see [Cz] Prop. 2.14).

Proposition 1.14. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a homeomorphic embedding. Let $\mathfrak{X}_A$ be a C^q stratification of A and assume that for each stratum $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_A$ the mapping $f|_\Gamma$ is a C^q embedding. Then the mapping $f^{-1} : f(A) \rightarrow A$ is weakly Lipschitz of class C^q on the stratification $f\mathfrak{X}_A$, if and only if for any strata $\Gamma, \Lambda \in \mathfrak{X}_A$ such that $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$ and for any point $c \in \Gamma$, if $\{a_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}, \{b_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ are arbitrary sequences such that $a_\nu \in \Gamma, b_\nu \in \Lambda$ for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$a_\nu, b_\nu \rightarrow c \quad (\nu \rightarrow +\infty) \quad \implies \quad \liminf_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|f(a_\nu) - f(b_\nu)|}{|a_\nu - b_\nu|} > 0.$$

2. GUILLAUME VALETTE'S THEOREM

Our important tool will be a detailed version of a theorem of Guillaume Valette [Val], Proposition 3.13. In this section we will use the notation from [Val].

Definition 2.1. Let A be a definable subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a unit vector (i.e. $|\lambda| = 1$). We say that λ is a *regular direction* for A if there is a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that for any C^1 regular point a of A and any unit vector v tangent to A at a we have $|v - \lambda| \geq \alpha$. Then we say that the orthogonal projection in the direction λ is a *regular projection* for A .

Theorem 2.2. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a definable subset of empty interior. Then there exists a definable bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\tilde{h} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\tilde{h}(A)$ has a regular projection.*

Proof. See [Val], Proposition 3.13. □

To state a more detailed version, we adopt the following convention. If $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function, we will identify the restricted function $f|_\Lambda$ with its graph; i.e. $\{(x, y) : x \in \Lambda, y = f(x)\}$ and if $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is another function, then we put

$$(f, g)|_\Lambda = \{(x, y) : x \in \Lambda, f(x) < y < g(x)\}.$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let C be a definable, closed and nowhere dense subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $D_1, \dots, D_s$ be definable subsets of C . There exists $\tilde{h} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ a definable, bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism such that e_n is a regular direction for $\tilde{h}(C)$ and there exists C' a definable C^q stratification of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $\eta_k : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k = 1, \dots, b$ definable, Lipschitz functions such that $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \dots \leq \eta_b$, $\tilde{h}(C) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^b \eta_k$ and*

- a) for any $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}'$ and for any $k = 1, \dots, b$ the restriction $\eta_k|_\Gamma$ is of class C^q ,
- b) for any $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $k = 1, \dots, b-1$, $\eta_k = \eta_{k+1}$ on Γ or $\eta_k < \eta_{k+1}$ on Γ ,
- c) the family $\mathcal{C} = \{(\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})|_\Gamma : \Gamma \in \mathcal{C}', \eta_k|_\Gamma < \eta_{k+1}|_\Gamma, k = 1, \dots, b-1\} \cup \{(-\infty, \eta_1)|_\Gamma : \Gamma \in \mathcal{C}'\} \cup \{(\eta_b, +\infty)|_\Gamma : \Gamma \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ is a definable C^q stratification of $\mathbb{R}^n$, compatible with $\tilde{h}(C)$, $\tilde{h}(D_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$,
- d) for any $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ the restriction $\tilde{h}^{-1}|_\Lambda$ is a definable C^q embedding.

Remark 2.4. There is a misprint in the paper [Val] in the proof of Proposition 3.13. It is written there that for $q \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$:

$$\eta_{k+1}(q) = \eta_k \circ \pi_{e_n}(q) + (\xi'_k - \xi_k) \circ \pi_{\lambda_k} \circ \tilde{h}^{-1}(q; \eta_k \circ \pi_{e_n}(q)).$$

As $\pi_{e_n}(q) = q$, then the formula for the mapping η_{k+1} is the following

$$\eta_{k+1}(q) = \eta_k(q) + (\xi'_k - \xi_k) \circ \pi_{\lambda_k} \circ \tilde{h}^{-1}(q; \eta_k(q)).$$

Proof. A construction of $\tilde{h}$ is the same as in the proof of Proposition 3.13 [Val]. In addition, observe that for $k = 1, \dots, b$ we have a definable, bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\psi_{0k} : N_{\lambda_k} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

$$\psi_{0k} = (id_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}, \eta_k)^{-1} \circ \tilde{h}|_{H_k} \circ (id_{N_{\lambda_k}}, \xi_k),$$

such that for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$

- a) $\tilde{h}^{-1}(z + \eta_k(z) \cdot e_n) = \psi_{0k}^{-1}(z) + \xi_k \circ \psi_{0k}^{-1}(z) \cdot \lambda_k$
- b) $\tilde{h}^{-1}(z + \eta_k(z) \cdot e_n + \tau \cdot (\eta_{k+1}(z) - \eta_k(z)) \cdot e_n) = \psi_{0k}^{-1}(z) + \xi_k \circ \psi_{0k}^{-1}(z) \cdot \lambda_k + \tau \cdot (\eta_{k+1}(z) - \eta_k(z)) \cdot \lambda_k$ for $0 < \tau \leq 1$;
- c) $\tilde{h}^{-1}(z + \eta_b(z) \cdot e_n + \tau \cdot e_n) = \psi_{0b}^{-1}(z) + \xi_b \circ \psi_{0b}^{-1}(z) \cdot \lambda_b + \tau \cdot \lambda_b$ for $\tau > 0$.
- d) $\tilde{h}^{-1}(z + \eta_1(z) \cdot e_n + \tau \cdot e_n) = \psi_{01}^{-1}(z) + \xi_1 \circ \psi_{01}^{-1}(z) \cdot \lambda_1 + \tau \cdot \lambda_1$ for $\tau \leq 0$.

As $\mathcal{C}'$ it is enough to take a definable C^q stratification of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ compatible with the sets: $\{\eta_k = \eta_{k+1}\}$, $\{\eta_k < \eta_{k+1}\}$, $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(\tilde{h}(C) \cap \eta_k)$, $\pi_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}(\tilde{h}(D_j) \cap \eta_k)$ and such that for each $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}'$ the mappings $\eta_k|_\Gamma$, $\xi_k \circ \psi_{0k}^{-1}|_\Gamma$ are of class C^q and $\psi_{0k}^{-1}|_\Gamma$ is a C^q embedding. □

3. A DEFINABLE, LOCALLY LIPSCHITZ, WEAKLY BI-LIPSCHITZ TRIANGULATION

In this section for any definable subset we construct a triangulation that is locally Lipschitz and weakly bi-Lipschitz on the natural simplicial stratification of a simplicial complex. We work in an o-minimal structure on the ordered field $\mathbb{R}$, which admits C^q Cell Decomposition Theorem, where $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, $q \geq 1$. We start with recalling standard definitions concerning triangulations.

Definition 3.1. Let V be an affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$, Γ be a C^q submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\Gamma \subset V$. Fix a point $c \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus V$. A cone with a vertex c and a basis Γ is a C^q submanifold

$$c * \Gamma = \{(1-t) \cdot c + t \cdot x : x \in \Gamma, t \in (0, 1)\}.$$

Definition 3.2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq n$. A k -dimensional simplex in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a set

$$[y_0, \dots, y_k] = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i \cdot y_i : \beta_i \geq 0, i = 0, \dots, k, \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i = 1 \right\},$$

where $y_0, \dots, y_k$ are affinely independent in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and are called the *vertexes*.

Remark 3.3. Observe that a simplex $\Delta = [y_0, \dots, y_k]$ is an open subset in the affine subspace L spanned by the points $y_0, \dots, y_k$. Then $\overline{\Delta} = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i \cdot y_i : \beta_i \geq 0, i = 0, \dots, k, \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i = 1 \right\}$ is the closure of Δ and $\partial\Delta = \overline{\Delta} \setminus \Delta$ is the boundary of Δ in L .

Definition 3.4. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $l \leq k$. An l - dimensional face of a simplex $\Delta = [y_0, \dots, y_k]$ is the following simplex Δ' :

$$\Delta' = [y_{\nu_0}, \dots, y_{\nu_l}],$$

where $0 \leq \nu_0 < \dots < \nu_l \leq k$.

Definition 3.5. If $\Delta = [y_0, \dots, y_k]$ is a k - dimensional simplex in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then a *barycentre* of Δ is the point

$$0_\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{k+1} \cdot y_i.$$

Definition 3.6. A *simplicial complex* in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a finite family K of simplexes in $\mathbb{R}^n$, which satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) for any $S_1, S_2 \in K$, $S_1 \neq S_2$, we have $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$.
- 2) if $S \in K$ and S' is a face of S , then $S' \in K$.

A *polyhedron* of a simplicial complex K is the set

$$|K| = \bigcup K.$$

Observe that $|K|$ is a definable compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension $\dim K = \max\{\dim \Delta : \Delta \in K\}$.

Definition 3.7. For $l \leq n$ the l - dimensional skeleton of a complex K is a subcomplex $K^{(l)}$ of K defined by:

$$K^{(l)} = \{S \in K : \dim S \leq l\}.$$

Definition 3.8. Let K be a simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then we define the *barycentric subdivision* K^* of K by induction on $\dim K$.

I. If $\dim K = 0$ put $K^* = K$.

II. Assume that $\dim K = d > 0$. By the induction hypothesis we have $(K^{(d-1)})^*$ which is a stratification of $K^{(d-1)}$. Then we put

$$K^* = \left(K^{(d-1)}\right)^* \cup \left\{0_\Delta * \Delta' : \Delta' \subset \partial \Delta, \Delta' \in \left(K^{(d-1)}\right)^*, \Delta \in K, \dim \Delta = d\right\} \cup \left\{0_\Delta : \Delta \in K, \dim \Delta = d\right\}.$$

Now we will prove a lemma, that will be crucial for the proof of the theorem about the existence of a definable, locally Lipschitz, weakly bi-Lipschitz triangulation.

Lemma 3.9. Let $\tilde{y}_0, \dots, \tilde{y}_k$ be affinitely independent in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $T = [\tilde{y}_0, \dots, \tilde{y}_k]$ be a simplex. Let

$$K_T = \{[\tilde{y}_{\nu_0}, \dots, \tilde{y}_{\nu_l}] : 0 \leq \nu_0 < \dots < \nu_l \leq k, l \in \{0, \dots, k\}\}$$

be the simplicial complex of all faces of T , so $|K_T| = \overline{T}$. Let $f : |\overline{T}| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g : |\overline{T}| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be definable and Lipschitz functions such that for each $\Delta \in K_T$

- i) $f = g$ on $\overline{\Delta}$ or there is a vertex w of Δ such that $f(w) < g(w)$
- ii) $f|_\Delta, g|_\Delta$ are of class C^q .

Let $\psi_f : |\overline{T}| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\psi_g : |\overline{T}| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be semilinear functions defined by formulae: for any $y = \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i \tilde{y}_i \in \overline{T}$,

where $\sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i = 1$, $\beta_i \geq 0$, we have

$$\psi_f \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i \tilde{y}_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i \cdot f(\tilde{y}_i), \quad \psi_g \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i \tilde{y}_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i \cdot g(\tilde{y}_i).$$

Consider the following polyhedral complex

$$K_p = \{\psi_f|_\Delta : \Delta \in K_T\} \cup \{\psi_g|_\Delta : \Delta \in K_T\} \cup \{(\psi_f, \psi_g)|_\Delta : \Delta \in K_T, \psi_f|_\Delta < \psi_g|_\Delta\}$$

and put $|K_p| = \bigcup K_p$. Then the mapping $H : |K_p| \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by the following formula:

$$(\mathfrak{F}) \quad H(y, z) = \begin{cases} (y, f(y)), & (y, z) \in \psi_f|_{\Delta}, \Delta \in K_T, \\ \left(y, \frac{z - \psi_f(y)}{\psi_g(y) - \psi_f(y)} \cdot g(y) + \frac{\psi_g(y) - z}{\psi_g(y) - \psi_f(y)} \cdot f(y)\right), & (y, z) \in (\psi_f, \psi_g)|_{\Delta}, \Delta \in K_T, \\ (y, g(y)), & (y, z) \in \psi_g|_{\Delta}, \Delta \in K_T \end{cases}$$

is a definable homeomorphic embedding such that

$$H(|K_p|) = \{(y, z) \in \overline{T} \times \mathbb{R} : f(y) \leq z \leq g(y)\}$$

and

$$\{H(S) : S \in K_p\} = \{f|_{\Delta} : \Delta \in K_T\} \cup \{g|_{\Delta} : \Delta \in K_T\} \cup \{(f, g)|_{\Delta} : \Delta \in K_T, f|_{\Delta} < g|_{\Delta}\}$$

and moreover

a) H is a Lipschitz mapping;

b) H^{-1} is locally Lipschitz on $\{(y, z) \in \overline{T} \times \mathbb{R} : f(y) \leq z \leq g(y), f(y) < g(y)\}$;

c) H is weakly bi-Lipschitz of class C^q on K_p .

Proof. We will prove a), b) and c) leaving the other - more standard part - to the reader. Notice that H is well-defined due to i) which implies that for each $\Delta \in K_T$ $f < g$ on Δ if and only if $\psi_f < \psi_g$ on Δ and $f \equiv g$ on Δ if and only if $\psi_f \equiv \psi_g$ on Δ .

To prove a) first observe that using the following Lipschitz automorphism

$$\chi : \overline{T} \times \mathbb{R} \ni (y, z) \longmapsto (y, z - f(y)) \in \overline{T} \times \mathbb{R}$$

we can assume without loss of generality that $f \equiv \psi_f \equiv 0$. Clearly, we can also assume that $g > 0$ on T . Put $S = (0, \psi_g)|_T$ and $H(y, z) = (y, H_2(y, z))$. Since S is a convex set and $H|_{\overline{S}}$ is continuous and $H|_S$ is of class C^q , to prove that H is Lipschitz it suffices¹ to check that all first-order partial derivatives of H are bounded on S . Since

$$\frac{\partial H_2}{\partial y_i}(y, z) = \frac{z}{\psi_g(y)} \cdot \frac{\partial g(y)}{\partial y_i} - \frac{z}{\psi_g(y)} \cdot \frac{g(y)}{\psi_g(y)} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi_g(y)}{\partial y_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial H_2}{\partial z}(y, z) = \frac{g(y)}{\psi_g(y)},$$

it is enough to check that $\frac{g}{\psi_g}$ is bounded on T . This is clear if $\psi_g(\tilde{y}_j) = g(\tilde{y}_j) > 0$ for all j , so assume that $\{\tilde{y}_0, \dots, \tilde{y}_l\} = \{\tilde{y}_j : g(\tilde{y}_j) = 0\}$, where $0 \leq l < k$.

For any $y = \sum_{\nu=0}^k \beta_{\nu} \tilde{y}_{\nu} \in T$, where $\beta_{\nu} > 0$ and $\sum_{\nu=0}^k \beta_{\nu} = 1$ take

$$x = \frac{\beta_0}{\sum_{\nu=0}^l \beta_{\nu}} \cdot \tilde{y}_0 + \dots + \frac{\beta_l}{\sum_{\nu=0}^l \beta_{\nu}} \cdot \tilde{y}_l.$$

Then $g(x) = 0$ by i) and

$$x - y = \beta_{l+1}(x - \tilde{y}_{l+1}) + \dots + \beta_k(x - \tilde{y}_k).$$

Consequently,

$$\left| \frac{g(y)}{\psi_g(y)} \right| = \left| \frac{g(y) - g(x)}{\psi_g(y)} \right| \leq \frac{L_g \cdot |x - y|}{\psi_g(y)} \leq L_g \cdot \frac{\sum_{\nu=l+1}^k \beta_{\nu} \cdot |x - \tilde{y}_{\nu}|}{\sum_{\nu=l+1}^k \beta_{\nu} \cdot g(\tilde{y}_{\nu})} \leq \frac{L_g \cdot \text{diam} T \cdot (k - l)}{\min\{g(\tilde{y}_{\nu}) : \nu > l\}}.$$

This completes the proof of a).

¹Thanks to the Mean Value Theorem, see [Di] Theorem 8.5.2.

To prove b) take any point $(c, d) \in \overline{T} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(c) \leq d < g(c)$ (for the case $f(c) < d \leq g(c)$ the argument will be similar). Again, using the Lipschitz automorphism χ we can assume without loss of generality that $f \equiv \psi_f \equiv 0$. Put

$$\Pi = \{(y, z) \in T \times \mathbb{R} : 0 < z < g(y)\}.$$

Then $(c, d) \in \overline{\Pi}$ and it is clear that (c, d) admits arbitrarily small neighbourhood U in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $U \cap \Pi$ is convex. Hence now it is enough to notice that all first-order partial derivatives of

$$H^{-1}(y, z) = \left(y, \frac{\psi_g(y)}{g(y)} \cdot z \right)$$

are bounded on some $U \cap \Pi$. This completes the proof of b).

To prove c) take any pair $\Gamma, \Lambda \in K_p$ such that $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$. We will check that H is weakly bi-Lipschitz of class C^q on $\{\Gamma, \Lambda\}$. This is clear in case $\Lambda = \psi_f|_\Delta$ or $\Lambda = \psi_g|_\Delta$, since then $H|_\Lambda$ is bi-Lipschitz. Hence without loss of generality we can assume² that $\Lambda = (\psi_f, \psi_g)|_T$. In view of a) and b) we can also assume that $\Gamma = \psi_f|_\Delta = \psi_g|_\Delta$ for some $\Delta \in K_T$, $\Delta \subset \overline{T} \setminus T$. Take any $c \in \Gamma$ and two sequences $a_\nu \in \Gamma$, $b_\nu \in \Lambda$ ($\nu \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $a_\nu, b_\nu \rightarrow c$. Put $a_\nu = (a'_\nu, a_{\nu n})$, $b_\nu = (b'_\nu, b_{\nu n})$, where $a'_\nu, b'_\nu \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $a_{\nu n}, b_{\nu n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Since

$$\liminf_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|H(a_\nu) - H(b_\nu)|}{|a_\nu - b_\nu|} \geq \liminf_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|a'_\nu - b'_\nu|}{|a_\nu - b_\nu|} > 0,$$

the proof is completed by Proposition 1.14. $\square$

Remind now briefly a definition of a definable C^q triangulation, $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, $q \geq 1$.

Definition 3.10. Let A be a compact definable set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$. A *definable C^q triangulation* of the set A is a pair (K, f) of a simplicial complex K and a definable homeomorphism $f : |K| \rightarrow A$ such that for each $\Delta \in K$ the set $f(\Delta)$ is a definable C^q submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $f|_\Delta$ is a definable C^q diffeomorphism onto $f(\Delta)$. If A is not compact, then a *definable C^q triangulation* of A is a pair (K', f) , where K' is a subfamily of a simplicial complex K and $f : |K'| \rightarrow A$ is a definable homeomorphism of $|K'| = \bigcup K'$ onto A such that for each $\Delta \in K'$ the set $f(\Delta)$ is a definable C^q submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $f|_\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow f(\Delta)$ is a definable C^q diffeomorphism.

Let $A_1, \dots, A_r$ be definable subsets of A . We say that a triangulation (K, f) is *compatible with the sets* $A_1, \dots, A_r$, if the stratification $\{f(\Delta) : \Delta \in K\}$ is compatible with $A_1, \dots, A_r$.

We may prove now one of the main theorems in this paper.

Theorem 3.11. (*Definable, locally Lipschitz, weakly bi-Lipschitz triangulation*) Let A be a definable subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $A_1, \dots, A_r$ be definable subsets of A , $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a definable C^q triangulation (K, H) of the set A , compatible with $A_1, \dots, A_r$ and such that

- a) H is a locally Lipschitz mapping;
- b) H is weakly bi-Lipschitz of class C^q on the natural simplicial stratification K of the set $|K|$.

Proof. By the following definable C^ω diffeomorphism

$$\zeta : \mathbb{R}^n \ni x \mapsto \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}} \in \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : |u| < 1\}$$

without loss of generality we can assume that A is compact. Observe also that it suffices to find a definable C^q triangulation (K, H) of the set A , compatible with $A_1, \dots, A_r$ and such that for any $\Delta \in K$

²see Proposition 1.9

a) $H|_{\overline{\Delta}}$ is a Lipschitz mapping³;

b) $H|_{\overline{\Delta}}$ is weakly bi-Lipschitz of class C^q on the natural simplicial stratification $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\Delta}}$ of the set $\overline{\Delta}$.

The proof is proceeded by induction on the dimension n of the ambient space.

Cases $n = 0$, $n = 1$ are trivial.

Let $n \geq 2$ and the statement be true for $n-1$. Denote $D_0 = A \setminus \text{int} A$, $D_i = A_i \setminus \text{int} A_i$, $D_{i+r} = \overline{A_i} \setminus \text{int} A_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Let $C = \bigcup_{i=0}^{2r} D_i$. Observe that C is nowhere dense in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and that any stratification of $\mathbb{R}^n$, compatible with all the sets $D_0, \dots, D_{2r}$ is also compatible with all the sets $A, A_1, \dots, A_r$.

Step 1. By Theorem 2.3 we can assume that there exists a definable C^q stratification $\mathcal{C}'$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and a finite family of definable Lipschitz functions $\eta_k : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($k = 1, \dots, b$) such that $\eta_1 \leq \dots \leq \eta_b$, $C \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^b \eta_k$ and for any $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}'$, $\eta_k|_{\Gamma}$ is of class C^q and either $\eta_k|_{\Gamma} \equiv \eta_{k+1}|_{\Gamma}$ or $\eta_k|_{\Gamma} < \eta_{k+1}|_{\Gamma}$ and the family

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} := & \{(\eta_k, \eta_{k+1})|_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \in \mathcal{C}', \eta_k|_{\Gamma} < \eta_{k+1}|_{\Gamma}, k = 1, \dots, b-1\} \cup \{(\eta_b, +\infty)|_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \in \mathcal{C}'\} \\ & \cup \{(-\infty, \eta_1)|_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \in \mathcal{C}'\} \cup \{\eta_k|_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \in \mathcal{C}', k = 1, \dots, b\} \end{aligned}$$

is a C^q stratification of $\mathbb{R}^n$, compatible with $A, A_1, \dots, A_r$. Now it suffices to construct a desired triangulation compatible with $\mathcal{C}$ for the set

$$\{(y, z) \in A' \times \mathbb{R} : \eta_1(y) \leq z \leq \eta_b(y)\},$$

where A' is the projection of A into $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

Step 2. From now on we will follow the classical construction of triangulation (compare [Hi2] or [Co] Theorem 4.4). By the induction hypothesis there exists a definable C^q triangulation (K', h) of the set A' , that is compatible with the finite family $\{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}' : \Gamma \subset A'\}$ and such that for every simplex $\Delta \in K'$

a) $h|_{\overline{\Delta}}$ is a Lipschitz mapping;

b) $h|_{\overline{\Delta}}$ is weakly bi-Lipschitz on the natural simplicial stratification $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\Delta}}$ of the set $\overline{\Delta}$,
where $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\Delta}} = \{\Delta' \in K' : \Delta' \subset \partial\Delta\} \cup \{\Delta\}$.

Without loss of generality, by taking the barycentric subdivision of K' , we can assume (see Proposition 1.8) that (K', h) has still the above properties a) and b) and furthermore

$$\eta_k \circ h|_{\overline{\Delta}} = \eta_{k+1} \circ h|_{\overline{\Delta}} \quad \text{or there is a vertex } w \text{ of } \Delta \text{ such that } \eta_k \circ h(w) < \eta_{k+1} \circ h(w)$$

for any $\Delta \in K'$ and $k = 1, \dots, b-1$. Thus since now without loss of generality we can assume⁵ that $A' = |K'|$, $h = \text{id}_{A'}$ and

$$(\sharp) \quad \eta_k|_{\overline{\Delta}} = \eta_{k+1}|_{\overline{\Delta}} \quad \text{or there is a vertex } w \text{ of } \Delta \text{ such that } \eta_k(w) < \eta_{k+1}(w)$$

for any $\Delta \in K'$ and $k = 1, \dots, b-1$. Consider the following functions $\psi_k : |K'| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\psi_k \left(\sum_{i=0}^l \beta_i y_i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^l \beta_i \cdot \eta_k(y_i), \text{ for any } [y_0, \dots, y_l] \in K' \text{ and } \beta_i > 0 \text{ such that } \sum_{i=0}^l \beta_i = 1.$$

Observe that every ψ_k is affine on the closure of each simplex $\Delta \in K'$ and by $(\sharp)$

$$\psi_k < \psi_{k+1} \text{ on } \Delta \iff \eta_k < \eta_{k+1} \text{ on } \Delta \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_k = \psi_{k+1} \text{ on } \overline{\Delta} \iff \eta_k = \eta_{k+1} \text{ on } \overline{\Delta}.$$

³It suffices to apply the following lemmas about "glueing" Lipschitz mappings: Let $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^p$, $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i$ be a quasi-convex⁴ set, $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be such that for $i = 1, \dots, r$, $f|_{A_i}$ is a Lipschitz mapping. Then f is a Lipschitz mapping. Also if $G_1, \dots, G_r \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are disjoint and compact, $f : \bigcup_{i=1}^r G_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is such that $f|_{G_i}$ is Lipschitz, then f is Lipschitz.

⁴A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is *quasi-convex*, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for $x, y \in A$ there exists a continuous arc $\lambda : [0, 1] \rightarrow A$, piecewise C^1 such that $\lambda(0) = x$, $\lambda(1) = y$ and $|\lambda| \leq C \cdot |x - y|$. In particular the connected components of $|K|$, where K is a simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^n$, are quasi-convex.

⁵see Proposition 1.11

Consider the following polyhedral complex:

$$K_p = \{\psi_k|_\Delta, \Delta \in K', k = 1, \dots, b\} \cup \{(\psi_k, \psi_{k+1})|_\Delta, \Delta \in K', \psi_k|_\Delta < \psi_{k+1}|_\Delta, k = 1, \dots, b-1\}.$$

Put $|K_p| = \bigcup K_p$. Now we define $H : |K_p| \longrightarrow \{(y, z) \in A' \times \mathbb{R} : \eta_1(y) \leq z \leq \eta_b(y)\}$ by the formula:

$$(f) \quad H(y, z) = \begin{cases} (y, \eta_k(y)), & (y, z) \in \psi_k|_\Delta, \Delta \in K', \\ & k = 1, \dots, b, \\ \left(y, \frac{z - \psi_k(y)}{\psi_{k+1}(y) - \psi_k(y)} \cdot \eta_{k+1}(y) + \frac{\psi_{k+1}(y) - z}{\psi_{k+1}(y) - \psi_k(y)} \cdot \eta_k(y)\right), & (y, z) \in (\psi_k, \psi_{k+1})|_\Delta, \Delta \in K', \\ & k = 1, \dots, b-1, \psi_k|_\Delta < \psi_{k+1}|_\Delta. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.9 H is a homeomorphism, $\{H(S) : S \in K_p\}$ is compatible with $\mathcal{C}$ and for any $S \in K_p$

- a) $H|_{\overline{S}}$ is a Lipschitz mapping;
- b) $H|_{\overline{S}}$ is weakly bi-Lipschitz of class C^q on the natural polyhedral stratification $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{S}}$ of the set $\overline{S}$, where $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{S}} = \{S' \in K_p : S' \subset \overline{S}\}$.

Finally, passing to the barycentric subdivision K_p^* of K_p completes the construction (see Proposition 1.8). $\square$

Remark 3.12. It follows that K can be always (a subfamily of) a simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Remark 3.13. If A is compact, we can have $H : |K| \longrightarrow A$ Lipschitz.

4. A CLASS OF TRIANGULABLE REGULARITY CONDITIONS

Using the results from [Cz], we define a class $\mathcal{T}$ of regularity conditions and prove that every definable compact set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ has a definable C^q triangulation with a $\mathcal{T}$ condition. We remind briefly the important notions from [Cz].

Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a regularity condition of pairs (Λ, Γ) at a point $x \in \Gamma$, where $\Lambda, \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are C^q submanifolds, $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$.

Definition 4.1. We say that $\mathcal{Q}$ is *local*, if for an open neighbourhood U of the point $x \in \Gamma$ the pair (Λ, Γ) satisfies the condition $\mathcal{Q}$ at x if and only if the pair $(\Lambda \cap U, \Gamma \cap U)$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{Q}$ at the point x .

Since now we consider only these conditions, that are local. We also set the following notation:

$\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\Lambda, \Gamma, x)$ = the condition $\mathcal{Q}$ is satisfied for the pair (Λ, Γ) at the point $x \in \Gamma$.

$\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\Lambda, \Gamma)$ = for any point $x \in \Gamma$ we have $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\Lambda, \Gamma, x)$.

$\sim \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\Lambda, \Gamma, x)$ = the pair (Λ, Γ) does not satisfy $\mathcal{Q}$ at the point x .

In the natural way we may define a stratification with the $\mathcal{Q}$ condition.

Definition 4.2. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a regularity condition, $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set. A C^q stratification with the condition $\mathcal{Q}$ (or a $\mathcal{Q}$ stratification of class C^q) of the set A is a C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}_A^{\mathcal{Q}}$ such that for any two strata $\Lambda, \Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_A^{\mathcal{Q}}$, $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$, we have $\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\Lambda, \Gamma)$.

In the next part of this section we focus on describing common features of regularity conditions. Some of them are well-known features of Whitney's conditions but some seem to be new (the lifting property, the projection property, the conical property).

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a regularity condition. We say that $\mathcal{Q}$ is *definable*, if for any definable C^q submanifolds $\Gamma, \Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$, the set

$$\{x \in \Gamma : \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\Lambda, \Gamma, x)\}$$

is definable.

Definition 4.4. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a definable regularity condition. We say that $\mathcal{Q}$ is *generic*, if for any definable C^q submanifolds $\Lambda, \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$, the set

$$\{x \in \Gamma : \sim \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\Lambda, \Gamma, x)\}$$

is nowhere dense in Γ .

Remark 4.5. If $\mathcal{Q}$ is a definable and generic condition, then for any two definable C^q submanifolds $\Lambda, \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, such that $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$ and $\dim \Gamma = 0$, we have always $\mathcal{W}^q(\Lambda, \Gamma)$.

Definition 4.6. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a regularity condition. We say that $\mathcal{Q}$ is C^q *invariant* (or *invariant under C^q diffeomorphisms*), if for any C^q submanifolds $\Lambda, \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$, $\dim \Gamma < \dim \Lambda$ and for any point $x \in \Gamma$, if U is an open neighbourhood of x and $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a C^q embedding, then

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\Lambda, \Gamma, x) \iff \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\phi(\Lambda \cap U), \phi(\Gamma \cap U), \phi(x)).$$

Definition 4.7. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a continuous mapping, $\mathfrak{X}_A$ be a C^q stratification of the set A such that $f|_{\Gamma}$ is of class C^q for all $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_A$. Then by the *induced C^q stratification of the graph f* , we will mean the following:

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\text{graph } f}(\mathfrak{X}_A) = \{\text{graph } f|_{\Gamma} : \Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_A\}.$$

Definition 4.8. We say that a condition $\mathcal{Q}$ has the *projection property with respect to weakly Lipschitz mappings of class C^q* if for any C^q mapping $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ weakly Lipschitz on a C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}_A$ of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\text{graph } f}(\mathfrak{X}_A) \text{ is a } \mathcal{Q} \text{- stratification} \implies \mathfrak{X}_A \text{ is a } \mathcal{Q} \text{- stratification}.$$

Definition 4.9. We say that a condition $\mathcal{Q}$ has the *lifting property with respect to locally Lipschitz mappings of class C^q* if for any two C^q submanifolds $\Lambda, \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$, and for any locally Lipschitz mapping $f : \Lambda \cup \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that the restrictions $f|_{\Lambda}, f|_{\Gamma}$ are of class C^q and for any C^q submanifolds $M, N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $M, N \subset \Lambda \cup \Gamma$, $N \subset \overline{M} \setminus M$ and $\{M, N\}$ is compatible with $\{\Lambda, \Gamma\}$, we have

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(M, N), \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\text{graph } f|_{\Lambda}, \text{graph } f|_{\Gamma}) \implies \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(\text{graph } f|_M, \text{graph } f|_N).$$

Definition 4.10. We say that a regularity condition $\mathcal{Q}$ is a $\mathcal{WL}$ condition of class C^q , if and only if it has all the following properties:

- the definability ;
- the genericity ;
- the invariance under definable C^q diffeomorphisms ;
- the projection property with respect to weakly Lipschitz mappings of class C^q ;
- the lifting property with respect to locally Lipschitz mappings of class C^q .

The class $\mathcal{WL}$ and properties of weakly Lipschitz mappings were widely discussed in [Cz]. The main theorem of [Cz] states that the $\mathcal{WL}$ conditions are invariant under weakly Lipschitz mappings in the following sense:

Theorem 4.11. (*Invariance of the $\mathcal{WL}$ conditions under definable, locally Lipschitz, weakly bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms*) Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a regularity $\mathcal{WL}$ condition of class C^q , where $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ and $q \geq 1$. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a definable set and consider a definable homeomorphic embedding $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, that is weakly bi-Lipschitz of class C^q on definable C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}_B$. Assume additionally that for any two submanifolds $\Lambda, \Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_B$ such that $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$, the mapping $f|_{\Lambda \cup \Gamma}$ is locally Lipschitz.

Then there exists a definable C^q stratification $\mathfrak{X}'_B$ of the set B , compatible with $\mathfrak{X}_B$ and such that

$$\{\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}_B : \dim \Gamma = \dim B\} = \{\Gamma' \in \mathfrak{X}'_B : \dim \Gamma' = \dim B\}$$

and the condition $\mathcal{Q}$ is invariant with respect to the pair $(f, \mathfrak{X}'_B)$ in the following sense

for any definable C^q submanifolds $M, N \subset B$ such that $N \subset \overline{M} \setminus M$ and $\{M, N\}$ are compatible with the stratification $\mathfrak{X}'_B$

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(M, N) \implies \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(f(M), f(N)).$$

Proof. See [Cz], Theorem 3.15. $\square$

However, in order to prove the triangulation theorem, we have to narrow the class $\mathcal{WL}$ of regularity conditions by imposing an extra condition.

Definition 4.12. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a regularity condition. We say that $\mathcal{Q}$ has *the conical property of class C^q* , if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any affine subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and any C^q submanifolds $M, N \subset V$ such that $N \subset \overline{M} \setminus M$ and for any point $c \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus V$ we have

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(M, N) \implies \begin{array}{l} \text{a) } \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(c * M, M), \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(c * N, N); \\ \text{b) } \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(c * M, c * N); \\ \text{c) } \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(c * M, N). \end{array}$$

Remark 4.13. Observe that for any affine subspace $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and for any point $c \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ the mapping

$$\varphi : S \times (0; +\infty) \ni (x, t) \longmapsto (1 - t) \cdot c + t \cdot x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is a C^q embedding for each $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, $q \geq 1$. Therefore, if a condition $\mathcal{Q}$ is invariant under definable C^q diffeomorphisms (e.g. when $\mathcal{Q}$ is a $\mathcal{WL}$ condition of class C^q), then it has the conical property of class C^q if and only if for any C^q submanifolds $M, N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $N \subset \overline{M} \setminus M$ we have

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(M, N) \implies \begin{array}{l} \text{a) } \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(M \times (0; 1), M \times \{1\}), \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(N \times (0; 1), N \times \{1\}); \\ \text{b) } \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(M \times (0; 1), N \times (0; 1)); \\ \text{c) } \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{Q}}(M \times (0; 1), N \times \{1\}). \end{array}$$

Now we can describe a class of triangulable conditions.

Definition 4.14. A regularity condition $\mathcal{Q}$ is called a *triangulable C^q condition*, if it is a $\mathcal{WL}$ condition of class C^q and it has the conical property of class C^q . Let $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the class of triangulable conditions.

In Section 5 we will prove that the Whitney (B) and the Verdier conditions belong to the class $\mathcal{T}$. Now we will prove a general theorem about definable C^q triangulation with a triangulable condition.

Theorem 4.15. (*Definable, locally Lipschitz triangulation with a triangulable condition*) Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be a triangulable condition of class C^q , where $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$ and $q \geq 1$. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a definable set and $A_1, \dots, A_r$ be definable subsets of A . Then there exists a definable C^q triangulation (K, H) of A , such that the family $\{H(\Delta) : \Delta \in K\}$ is a definable C^q stratification with the condition $\mathcal{Q}$ of the set A compatible with $A_1, \dots, A_r$ and $H : |K| \longrightarrow A$ is a locally Lipschitz mapping.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.11, without loss of generality we can assume that A is compact. Then we will prove the conclusion with H a Lipschitz mapping. We proceed by induction on dimension of the set A . Let $\dim A = d$.

The case $d = 0$ is trivial. In case $d = 1$ to get the desired triangulation it suffices to apply Theorem 3.11 (Corollary 3.13). Let $d > 1$ and the theorem be true for the sets of dimension $\leq d - 1$.

Step 1. By Theorem 3.11 (Corollary 3.13) we find a definable C^q triangulation (K_1, h_1) of the set A , compatible with $A_1, \dots, A_r$, Lipschitz and weakly bi-Lipschitz of class C^q on the simplicial stratification K_1 of the polyhedron $|K_1|$.

Step 2. By Theorem 4.11, where we put $f = h_1, B = |K_1|, \mathfrak{X}_B = K_1$, we find a definable C^q substratification $\mathfrak{X}'_{|K_1|}$ of the polyhedron $|K_1|$ that is compatible with K_1 and such that the condition $\mathcal{Q}$ and the pair $(h_1, \mathfrak{X}'_{|K_1|})$ satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 4.11. In particular

$$\{\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}'_{|K_1|} : \dim \Gamma = d\} = \{\Delta \in K_1 : \dim \Delta = d\}.$$

Observe also, that the following family of definable C^q submanifolds

$$\mathfrak{X}'_{|K_1^{(d-1)}|} = \left\{ \Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}'_{|K_1|} : \dim \Gamma \leq d - 1 \right\}$$

is a finite definable C^q stratification of the polyhedron $|K_1^{(d-1)}|$, compatible with its natural simplicial stratification

$$K_1^{(d-1)} = \{\Delta \in K_1 : \dim \Delta \leq d-1\}.$$

Step 3. Consider the polyhedron $|K_1^{(d-1)}|$ and its stratification $\mathfrak{X}'_{|K_1^{(d-1)}|}$. As $\dim |K_1^{(d-1)}| < d$, then by the induction hypothesis there exists a definable C^q triangulation (K_2, h_2) of the polyhedron $|K_1^{(d-1)}|$, such that the family $\{h_2(\Delta) : \Delta \in K_2\}$ forms a definable C^q stratification with the condition $\mathcal{Q}$ of the set $|K_1^{(d-1)}|$, compatible with the stratification $\mathfrak{X}'_{|K_1^{(d-1)}|}$ and $h_2 : |K_2| \rightarrow |K_1^{(d-1)}|$ is a Lipschitz mapping.

Step 4. We define⁶ now a new simplicial complex K_3 :

Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_\alpha\}$ be the set of all vertexes of K_2 and let $\{\Delta_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \Delta_T\}$ be the set of all d -dimensional simplexes of the complex K_1 . Denote by $\{0_{\Delta_{\alpha+1}}, \dots, 0_{\Delta_T}\}$ the set of the barycentres of the simplexes $\Delta_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \Delta_T$. First we define the set of vertexes of K_3 :

$$\text{Vert}(K_3) = \{e_1, \dots, e_\alpha, e_{\alpha+1}, \dots, e_T\},$$

where e_j , $j = 1, \dots, T$ are the vertexes in $\mathbb{R}^T$ of a standard $T-1$ dimensional simplex

$$\Delta^{T-1} = [e_1, \dots, e_\alpha, e_{\alpha+1}, \dots, e_T].$$

Now we define the simplicial complex K_3 as the following subcomplex of the natural simplicial complex $K_{\Delta^{T-1}} = \{[e_{\delta_1}, \dots, e_{\delta_s}] : \delta_1, \dots, \delta_s \in \{1, \dots, T\}\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \in K_3 &\iff \Delta = [e_{\beta_1}, \dots, e_{\beta_s}] \text{ for some } [a_{\beta_1}, \dots, a_{\beta_s}] \in K_2, \\ &\text{or } \Delta = [e_j, e_{\beta_1}, \dots, e_{\beta_s}] \text{ for some } [a_{\beta_1}, \dots, a_{\beta_s}] \in K_2 \text{ and } \Delta_j, \text{ where } j \in \{\alpha+1, \dots, T\} \text{ is} \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{such that } h_2([a_{\beta_1}, \dots, a_{\beta_s}]) \subset \overline{\Delta_j}, \\ &\text{or } \Delta = [e_j] \text{ for some } j = \alpha+1, \dots, T. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that K_3 is a d -dimensional simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^T$ and there exists a $d-1$ dimensional subcomplex $L \subset K_3$:

$$L = \{[e_{\beta_1}, \dots, e_{\beta_s}] : [a_{\beta_1}, \dots, a_{\beta_s}] \in K_2\},$$

simplicially isomorphic to K_2 by the following semilinear homeomorphism $f : |L| \rightarrow |K_2|$:

$$f \left(\sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j \cdot e_{\beta_j} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j \cdot a_{\beta_j},$$

for any $\sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j \cdot e_{\beta_j} \in [e_{\beta_1}, \dots, e_{\beta_s}] \in L$. Then $(L, h_2 \circ f)$ is still a definable C^q triangulation of $|K_1^{(d-1)}|$ with the condition $\mathcal{Q}$, compatible with $\mathfrak{X}'_{|K_1^{(d-1)}|}$ and $h_2 \circ f$ is also a Lipschitz mapping.

Step 5. Now we extend the mapping $h_2 \circ f$ on the polyhedron $|K_3|$ in a conical way:

$$h_3 : |K_3| \rightarrow |K_1|$$

$$h_3(z) = \begin{cases} h_2 \circ f(z), & \text{when } z \in \Delta', \Delta' \in L, \\ (1-t) \cdot 0_{\Delta_j} + t \cdot h_2 \circ f(x), & \text{when } z \in \Delta, \Delta \in K_3 \setminus L, \Delta = [e_j, e_{\beta_1}, \dots, e_{\beta_s}], \\ & z = (1-t) \cdot e_j + t \cdot x, x \in [e_{\beta_1}, \dots, e_{\beta_s}], t \in (0, 1), \\ 0_{\Delta_j}, & \text{when } z = e_j, j \in \{\alpha+1, \dots, T\}. \end{cases}$$

It follows from the construction that h_3 has the properties:

⁶Compare the construction in [DG] Theorem 4.2, also [ES] Example 9.5.3.

- i) $h_3|_{\Delta}$ is a definable C^q embedding for any $\Delta \in K_3$;
- ii) $h_3((e_j, e_{\beta_1}, \dots, e_{\beta_s})) = 0_{\Delta_j} * h_2 \circ f((e_{\beta_1}, \dots, e_{\beta_s}))$, for any $\Delta = [e_j, e_{\beta_1}, \dots, e_{\beta_s}] \in K_3 \setminus L$,
- iii) $\{h_3(\Delta) : \Delta \in L\}$ is a C^q stratification with the condition $\mathcal{Q}$ of $|K_1^{(d-1)}|$, compatible with the stratification $\mathfrak{X}'_{|K_1^{(d-1)}|}$,
- iv) h_3 is a Lipschitz mapping⁷.

By the conical property and Remark 4.5 the family $\{h_3(\Delta) : \Delta \in K_3\}$ is a definable C^q stratification with the condition $\mathcal{Q}$ of the set $|K_1|$ compatible with the stratification $\mathfrak{X}'_{|K_1|}$. Now it is clear that

$$\{h_1 \circ h_3(\Delta) : \Delta \in K_3\}$$

is a definable C^q stratification with the condition $\mathcal{Q}$ of the set A , compatible with $\{h_1(\Delta) : \Delta \in K_1\}$. It is also compatible with $A_1, \dots, A_r$, because the family $\{h_1(\Delta) : \Delta \in K_1\}$ is compatible with $A_1, \dots, A_r$ (see Step 1). Hence, $(K_3, h_1 \circ h_3)$ is the desired definable C^q triangulation. $\square$

5. THE WHITNEY (B) AND THE VERDIER CONDITIONS AS $\mathcal{T}$ CONDITIONS

In this section, using the results from [Cz], we will show that the Whitney (B) and the Verdier condition belong to the class of $\mathcal{T}$ conditions. First remind the basic definitions.

Definition 5.1. Let N, M be C^q submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($q \geq 1$) such that $N \subset \overline{M} \setminus M$ and let $a \in N$. We say that the pair (M, N) satisfies the *Whitney (B) condition at the point a* (notation: $\mathcal{W}^B(M, N, a)$) if for any sequences $\{a_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \subset N$, $\{b_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$ both converging to a and such that the sequence of the secant lines $\{\mathbb{R}(a_\nu - b_\nu)\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a line $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ and the sequence of the tangent spaces $\{T_{b_\nu} M\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a subspace $T \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in $\mathbb{G}_{\dim M, n}$, we have always $L \subset T$.

When a pair of C^q submanifolds (M, N) satisfies (respectively, does not satisfy) the Whitney (B) condition at a point $a \in N$, we write $\mathcal{W}^B(M, N, a)$ (respectively $\sim \mathcal{W}^B(M, N, a)$). If for any point $a \in N$ we have $\mathcal{W}^B(M, N, a)$, we write $\mathcal{W}^B(M, N)$.

Definition 5.2. Let $v \in S^{n-1}$ and let W be a nonzero linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We put

$$d(v, W) = \inf\{\sin(v, w) : w \in W \cap S^{n-1}\},$$

where $\sin(v, w)$ denotes the sine of the angle between the vectors v and w and $S^{n-1} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : |v| = 1\}$. We also put $d(u, W) = 1$, if $W = \{0\}$.

Definition 5.3. For any $P \in \mathbb{G}_{k, n}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{G}_{l, n}$, we put

$$d(P, Q) = \sup\{d(\lambda; Q) : \lambda \in P \cap S^{n-1}\},$$

when $k > 0$, and $d(P, Q) = 0$, when $k = 0$.

Now we list some elementary properties of the function d , leaving the proof to the reader.

Proposition 5.4.

a) Consider the following metric on $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$:

$$\tilde{d}(\mathbb{R}v, \mathbb{R}w) = \min\{|u - w|, |u + w|\} \quad \text{for } u, w \in S^{n-1}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tilde{d}(\mathbb{R}v, \mathbb{R}w) \leq d(\mathbb{R}v, \mathbb{R}w) \leq \tilde{d}(\mathbb{R}v, \mathbb{R}w).$$

b) If V, W are linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$, then $d(V \times \mathbb{R}, W \times \mathbb{R}) = d(V, W)$.

c) If $Q' \subset Q$, then $d(P, Q) \leq d(P, Q')$.

d) For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq n$ the function d is a metric on $\mathbb{G}_{k, n}$.

⁷It suffices to observe that for any $\Delta \in K_3$ the first order derivatives of $h_3|_{\Delta}$ are bounded.

Definition 5.5. Let Λ, Γ be definable C^2 submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\Gamma \subset \overline{\Lambda} \setminus \Lambda$. We say that the pair (Λ, Γ) satisfies the Verdier condition at a point $x_0 \in \Gamma$ (notation: $\mathcal{W}^V(\Lambda, \Gamma, x_0)$), if there exists an open neighbourhood U_{x_0} of x_0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $C_{x_0} > 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in \Gamma \cap U_{x_0} \quad \forall y \in \Lambda \cap U_{x_0} \quad d(T_x \Gamma, T_y \Lambda) \leq C_{x_0} |x - y|.$$

In case the pair of submanifolds (Λ, Γ) satisfies the Verdier condition at each point $x_0 \in \Gamma$, we write $\mathcal{W}^V(\Lambda, \Gamma)$.

In the paper [Cz] it was proved that for any o-minimal structure on real ordered field $\mathbb{R}$, admitting definable C^q Cell Decomposition with $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, $q \geq 1$, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 5.6. *The Whitney (B) condition is a $\mathcal{WL}$ condition of class C^q , $q \geq 1$. Also the Verdier condition is a $\mathcal{WL}$ condition of class C^q , $q \geq 2$.*

Corollary 5.7. *Theorem 4.11 holds true for the Whitney (B) condition with $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, $q \geq 1$ and for the Verdier condition with $q \geq 2$.*

Theorem 5.8. *The Whitney (B) condition has the conical property of class C^q , $q \geq 1$.*

Proof. As the Whitney (B) condition is C^q invariant with $q \geq 1$, we may use the equivalent definition from Remark 4.13. Let M, N be definable C^q submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $N \subset \overline{M} \setminus M$. Assume that $\mathcal{W}^B(M, N)$.

a) The conditions $\mathcal{W}^B(M \times (0; 1), M \times \{1\})$ and $\mathcal{W}^B(N \times (0; 1), N \times \{1\})$ hold true, because $M \times (0, 1) \cup M \times \{1\}$ and $N \times (0, 1) \cup N \times \{1\}$ are definable submanifolds with boundaries of class C^q , so they trivially satisfy the Whitney (B) condition.

b) Now we are to prove that $\mathcal{W}^B(M \times (0; 1), N \times (0; 1))$. Let $x \in N \times (0, 1)$ and consider two sequences $\{x_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \in N \times (0; 1)$, $\{y_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \in M \times (0; 1)$ such that

$$x_\nu, y_\nu \longrightarrow x, \quad \mathbb{R}(x_\nu - y_\nu) \longrightarrow L, \quad T_{y_\nu}(M \times (0; 1)) \longrightarrow T$$

for $\nu \longrightarrow +\infty$, with some $L \in \mathbb{P}_n$, $T \in \mathbb{G}_{\dim M + 1, n + 1}$. We have to show that $L \subset T$. Denote the coordinates of the points x and x_ν, y_ν for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$x = (x', x_{n+1}), \quad x_\nu = (x'_\nu, x_{\nu n+1}), \quad y_\nu = (y'_\nu, y_{\nu n+1})$$

with $x', x'_\nu \in N$ and $y'_\nu \in M$, $x_{n+1}, x_{\nu n+1}, y_{\nu n+1} \in (0, 1)$. Then for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, we have the following inclusions

$$(S1) \quad \mathbb{R}(x_\nu - y_\nu) = \mathbb{R}(x'_\nu - y'_\nu, x_{\nu n+1} - y_{\nu n+1}) \subset \mathbb{R}(x'_\nu - y'_\nu) \times \mathbb{R}(x_{\nu n+1} - y_{\nu n+1}) \subset \mathbb{R}(x'_\nu - y'_\nu) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover,

$$(S2) \quad T_{y_\nu}(M \times (0; 1)) = T_{y'_\nu} M \times \mathbb{R}.$$

As the sequences $\{x'_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{y'_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ tend to x' , so without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\mathbb{R}(x'_\nu - y'_\nu) \longrightarrow L', \quad T_{y'_\nu} M \longrightarrow T_M \quad \text{for } \nu \longrightarrow +\infty,$$

where $L' \in \mathbb{P}_{n-1}$, $T_M \in \mathbb{G}_{\dim M, n}$ are some subspaces. From (S1) and (S2) we have

$$L \subset L' \times \mathbb{R}, \quad T = T_M \times \mathbb{R}.$$

As $\mathcal{W}^B(M, N)$, then $L' \subset T_M$. Finally,

$$L \subset L' \times \mathbb{R} \subset T_M \times \mathbb{R} = T.$$

c) The proof of $\mathcal{W}^B(M \times (0; 1), N \times \{1\})$ is similar to the proof of Case b). □

Corollary 5.9. *The Whitney (B) condition belongs to the class $\mathcal{T}$ with $q \geq 1$.*

In order to prove the conical property for the Verdier condition, we need one more lemma.

Lemma 5.10. *Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \leq n$ and consider a linear subspace $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\dim E = k$. Let $\mathcal{L}(E, E^\perp)$ be a vector space of linear mappings with the norm $\|l\| = \sup\{|f(v)| : v \in E, |v| = 1\}$. Consider the grassmanian $\mathbb{G}_{k,n}$ with the metric d and the mapping*

$$\varphi : \mathcal{L}(E, E^\perp) \ni l \mapsto \widehat{l} \in \mathbb{G}_{k,n}, \quad \text{where} \quad \widehat{l} = \{v + l(v) : v \in E\}.$$

Then φ is Lipschitz and

$$\forall f, g \in \mathcal{L}(E, E^\perp) \quad d(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}) \leq 2 \cdot \|f - g\|.$$

Proof. Let $v \in E$, $|v| = 1$. Consider arbitrary functions $f, g \in \mathcal{L}(E, E^\perp)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\mathbb{R} \frac{v + f(v)}{|v + f(v)|}, \widehat{g}\right) &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5.4c}}{\leq} d\left(\mathbb{R} \frac{v + f(v)}{|v + f(v)|}, \mathbb{R} \frac{v + g(v)}{|v + g(v)|}\right) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 5.4a}}{\leq} \left| \frac{v + f(v)}{\sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2}} - \frac{v + g(v)}{\sqrt{1 + |g(v)|^2}} \right| = \\ &= \left| \frac{v + f(v)}{\sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2}} - \frac{v + g(v)}{\sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2}} + [v + g(v)] \cdot \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |g(v)|^2}} \right] \right| = \\ &= \left| \frac{f(v) - g(v)}{\sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2}} + [v + g(v)] \cdot \frac{\sqrt{1 + |g(v)|^2} - \sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2}}{\sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2} \cdot \sqrt{1 + |g(v)|^2}} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{1 + |g(v)|^2} + \sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2}}{\sqrt{1 + |g(v)|^2} + \sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2}} \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left| \frac{f(v) - g(v)}{\sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2}} \right| + \sqrt{1 + |g(v)|^2} \cdot \frac{||g(v)|^2 - |f(v)|^2|}{\sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2} \cdot \sqrt{1 + |g(v)|^2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2} + \sqrt{1 + |g(v)|^2}} \leq \\ &\stackrel{\text{triangle inequality}}{\leq} |f(v) - g(v)| \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{|f(v)| + |g(v)|}{\sqrt{1 + |f(v)|^2} + \sqrt{1 + |g(v)|^2}} \right) \leq \\ &\leq 2 \cdot |f(v) - g(v)| \leq 2 \cdot \|f - g\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$d(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}) = \sup_{v \in E \cap S^{n-1}} d\left(\mathbb{R} \frac{v + f(v)}{|v + f(v)|}, \widehat{g}\right) \leq 2 \cdot \|f - g\|.$$

□

Corollary 5.11. *Let Λ be a definable C^q submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, $q \geq 2$. Then for every point $x_0 \in \Lambda$ there exists a constant $C_{x_0} > 0$ and an open neighbourhood U_{x_0} of the point x_0 such that*

$$\forall x, y \in U_{x_0} \cap \Lambda \quad d(T_x \Lambda, T_y \Lambda) \leq C_{x_0} \cdot |x - y|.$$

Now we can prove the conical property for the Verdier condition.

Theorem 5.12. *The Verdier condition has the conical property of class C^q , $q \geq 2$.*

Proof. As the Verdier condition is C^2 invariant, we may use the equivalent definition of the conical property from Remark 4.13. Consider two definable C^q ($q \geq 2$) submanifolds M, N of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $N \subset \overline{M} \setminus M$. Assume that $\mathcal{W}^V(M, N)$.

a) We would like to prove that $\mathcal{W}^V(M \times (0; 1), M \times \{1\})$ and $\mathcal{W}^V(N \times (0; 1), N \times \{1\})$. Take a point $x_0 \in M \times \{1\}$, $x_0 = (x'_0, 1)$ with some $x'_0 \in M$. By Corollary 5.11 we find an open neighbourhood $U_{x'_0}$ of the point x'_0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a constant $C_{x'_0} > 0$ such that

$$\forall x', y' \in U_{x'_0} \cap M \quad d(T_{x'} M, T_{y'} M) \leq C_{x'_0} \cdot |x' - y'|.$$

We claim that the following neighbourhood U_{x_0} and a constant C_{x_0} satisfy the thesis:

$$U_{x_0} = U_{x'_0} \times (0; 1 + \varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{x_0} = C_{x'_0},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $x \in U_{x_0} \cap (M \times \{1\})$, $y \in U_{x_0} \cap (M \times (0; 1))$. Then $x = (x', 1)$, $y = (y', y_{n+1})$ with some points $x', y' \in U_{x'_0} \cap M$ and $y_{n+1} \in (0; 1)$. Moreover,

$$T_x(M \times \{1\}) = T_{x'} M \times \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad T_y(M \times (0; 1)) = T_{y'} M \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_{x'}M \times \{0\}, T_{y'}M \times \mathbb{R}) &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5.4c)}}{\leq} d(T_{x'}M \times \{0\}, T_{y'}M \times \{0\}) = \\ &= d(T_{x'}M, T_{y'}M) \leq C_{x'_0} \cdot |x' - y'| \leq C_{x_0} \cdot |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of $\mathcal{W}^V(N \times (0; 1), N \times \{1\})$ is exactly the same.

b) Now we prove that $\mathcal{W}^V(M \times (0; 1), N \times (0; 1))$. Take a point $x_0 \in N \times (0; 1)$, $x_0 = (x'_0, x_{0n+1})$ with some $x'_0 \in N$, $x_{0n+1} \in (0, 1)$. By Corollary 5.11 we find an open neighbourhood $U_{x'_0}$ of the point x'_0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a constant $C_{x'_0} > 0$ such that

$$\forall x' \in U_{x'_0} \cap N, y' \in U_{x'_0} \cap M \quad d(T_{x'}N, T_{y'}M) \leq C_{x'_0} \cdot |x' - y'|.$$

We claim that the following neighbourhood U_{x_0} and a constant C_{x_0} satisfy the thesis:

$$U_{x_0} = U_{x'_0} \times (0; 1) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{x_0} = C_{x'_0}.$$

Let $x \in U_{x_0} \cap (N \times (0; 1))$, $y \in U_{x_0} \cap (M \times (0; 1))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_x(N \times (0; 1)), T_y(M \times (0; 1))) &= d(T_{x'}N \times \mathbb{R}, T_{y'}M \times \mathbb{R}) = \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5.4b)}}{=} d(T_{x'}N, T_{y'}M) \leq C_{x'_0} \cdot |x' - y'| \leq C_{x'_0} \cdot |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

c) Take a point $x_0 \in N \times \{1\}$, $x_0 = (x'_0, 1)$ with some $x'_0 \in N$. By Corollary 5.11 we find an open neighbourhood $U_{x'_0}$ of the point x'_0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a constant $C_{x'_0} > 0$ such that

$$\forall x' \in U_{x'_0} \cap N, y' \in U_{x'_0} \cap M \quad d(T_{x'}N, T_{y'}M) \leq C_{x'_0} \cdot |x' - y'|.$$

We claim that the following neighbourhood U_{x_0} and a constant C_{x_0} satisfy the thesis:

$$U_{x_0} = U_{x'_0} \times (0; 1 + \varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{x_0} = C_{x'_0},$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $x \in U_{x_0} \cap (N \times \{1\})$, $x = (x', 1)$ and $y \in U_{x_0} \cap (M \times (0; 1))$, $y = (y', y_{n+1})$, where $y' \in M$, $y_{n+1} \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_x(N \times \{1\}), T_y(M \times (0; 1))) &= d(T_{x'}N \times \{0\}, T_{y'}M \times \mathbb{R}) \leq \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5.4c)}}{\leq} d(T_{x'}N \times \{0\}, T_{y'}M \times \{0\}) = d(T_{x'}N, T_{y'}M) \leq C_{x'_0} \cdot |x' - y'| \leq C_{x'_0} \cdot |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.13. *The Verdier belongs to the class $\mathcal{T}$ with $q \geq 2$.*

Remark 5.14. The (r) condition of Kuo does not belong to the class $\mathcal{T}$, since it has not the conical property (see [BT]).

Acknowledgements. I am deeply grateful to Wiesław Pawłucki for his friendly attention and many fascinating discussions. It is a great honour for me to have such a great teacher.

REFERENCES

- [BT] H. Brodersen, D. Trotman, *Whitney (b) - regularity is weaker than Kuo's ratio tests for real algebraic stratifications*, Math. Scand. 45 (1979), p.27-34.
- [Co] M. Coste, *An introduction to o-minimal geometry*, Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica, Pisa, 2000.
- [Cz] M. Czapla, *Invariance of regularity conditions under definable, locally Lipschitz, weakly bi-Lipschitz mappings*, to appear in Annales Polonici Mathematici.
- [Di] J. Dieudonné, *Foundations of Modern Analysis*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1960.
- [DG] J. Dugundji, A. Granas, *Fixed point theory*, vol. I, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw 1982.
- [DM] L. van den Dries, C. Miller, *Geometrical categories and o-minimal structures*, Duke Mathematical Journal vol. 84 (1996), no.2, p. 497-540.
- [vdD] L. van den Dries, *Tame topology and o-minimal structures*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [ES] R. Engelking, K. Sieklucki, *Geometria i topologia, część II Topologia*, Polish Scientific Publishers vol. 54, Warsaw 1980 (in polish).

- [Ha] R. M. Hardt, *Triangulation of Subanalytic Sets and Proper Light Subanalytic Maps*, *Inventiones mathematicae* 38, 207-217 (1977).
- [Hi1] H. Hironaka, *Subanalytic sets*, Number Theory, Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra, in honor of Y. Akizuki, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1973, 453-493.
- [Hi2] H. Hironaka, *Triangulations of algebraic sets*, *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, vol. 29, 1975.
- [Kuo] T.-C. Kuo, *The ratio test for analytic Whitney stratifications*, *Liverpool Singularities Symposium I*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 192, pp. 141-149, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1971.
- [L1] S. Łojasiewicz, *Ensembles semi-analytiques*, I.H.E.S. Bur-sur-Yvette, 1965.
- [L2] S. Łojasiewicz, *Triangulation of semi-analytic sets*, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa*, Sér. 3, 18 no. 4 (1964), 449-474.
- [L3] S. Łojasiewicz, *Stratifications et triangulations sous-analytiques*, *Seminari Geometria*, Bologna, 1986, 83-97.
- [LSW] S. Łojasiewicz, J. Stasica, K. Wachta, *Stratifications sous-analytiques. Condition de Verdier*, *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.* 34 (1986), 531-539.
- [Sh1] M. Shiota, *Whitney triangulations of semialgebraic sets*, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 87, 2005, p. 237-246.
- [Sh2] M. Shiota, *Geometry of subanalytic and semialgebraic sets*, *Progress in Math.*, 150, Birkhäuser, 1997.
- [TL1] T. Le Loi, *Verdier and strict Thom stratifications in o-minimal structures*, *Illinois J. of Math.*, vol. 42, no. 2 (1998), 347-356.
- [TL2] T. Le Loi, *Whitney stratification of sets definable in the structure $\mathbb{R}_{exp}$* , *Banach Center Publications*, vol. 33 (1996), 401-409.
- [Val] G. Valette, *Lipschitz triangulations*, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 49, no. 3, Fall 2005, p. 953-979.
- [Wh] H. Whitney, *Tangents to an Analytic Variety*, *Annals of Math.*, vol. 81, 1965, pp. 496-549

UNIwersytet Jagielloński, Instytut Matematyki, ul. Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland
E-mail address: Malgorzata.Czapla@im.uj.edu.pl